

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/564,320	SLETTAYEN, ODD	

Examiner	Art Unit	
MONICA A. HUSON	1791	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) MONICA A. HUSON. (3) _____.

(2) Anton Skaugset. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 May 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Knox

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Skaugset called the examiner to go through the Amendment filed 29 January 2010, explaining applicant's position that the amended claims are not anticipated by Knox. The Examiner agreed that the amended claims were not anticipated by Knox. The examiner will call Mr. Skaugset if she has any further questions..